



FILE:

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section

101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

Medical dearly ourses and

www.uscis.gov

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, initially approved the employment-based immigrant visa petition on July 15, 2002. Upon further review, the director determined that the petition had been approved in error and properly served the petitioner with a Notice of Intent to Revoke, and gave the petitioner the opportunity to submit additional evidence. The director subsequently exercised her discretion to revoke approval of the petition. The petition is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a religious worker. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been engaged continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The director also determined that the petitioner had not established that it had extended a valid job offer to the beneficiary.

On appeal, counsel states that the director's notice of revocation is unfounded and based on prejudice. Counsel offers no other basis for the appeal and submits no brief or additional documentation.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

As the petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.